UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/812,021 | 03/30/2004 | Kazunari Tanaka | 1095.1308 | 2782 |
| 21171 STAAS & HAI | 7590 03/06/200 SEY LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| SUITE 700 | | MAHMOOD, REZWANUL | | |
| 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2164 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/06/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/812,021 | TANAKA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Rezwanul Mahmood | 2164 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3 and 5-12 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3 and 5-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/09/2007. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | nte | | | |

10/812,021 Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/21/2007 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Claims 1 and 4 have been cancelled and new claim 12 has been added. Claims 2, 3, and 5-12 are currently pending in this office action. Claims 9-12 are the independent claims.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 3, 5-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number:

10/812,021 Art Unit: 2162

Claims 2, 3, and 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feldman (US Patent 6,532,469) in view of Fukushige (US Patent Publication 2002/0152051).

With respect to claim 9, Feldman discloses a method of creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), comprising the steps of:

analyzing contents of each of the documents and extracting feature elements including time information therefrom (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

calculating a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

laying out objects indicative of the documents on the relation chart, along a time axis, based on the time information, and generating association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

displaying the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines in chronological order (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6),

Art Unit: 2162

However, Feldman does not explicitly disclose:

at least one of the objects indicative of the document pairs having relevancy being displayed while maintaining a before-and-after relationship.

The Fukushige reference, however, discloses document relevancy being displayed while maintaining a before-and-after relationship (Fukushige: Paragraph 177, lines 1-21; Figure 20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Feldman with the teachings of Fukushige to display relation chart composed of the objects indicative of the document pairs having relevancy being displayed while maintaining a before-and-after relationship of the document pairs in chronological order for generating a set of parameters for a user in determining whether a given document belongs to a specified one of a plurality of categories (Fukushige: Paragraph 22, lines 1-5).

With respect to claim 2, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein when the association lines are generated, the association lines between predetermined ones of the document pairs are discarded for thinning-out based on the degree of relevancy of the document pair without citation relationship (Feldman: Column 1, lines 57-61; Column 9, lines 4-34; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 3, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein when the association lines are generated, ones of the association lines between ones of the document pairs having the citation

10/812,021 Art Unit: 2162

relationship are displayed in a form of display different from a form of display in which the others of the association lines are displayed (Feldman: Column 2, lines 61-67; Column 3, lines 29-32; Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 5, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, the objects indicative of the documents are arranged along the time axis in an order based on the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1- 13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 6, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, the time axis is represented in basic units each corresponding to a predetermined time period, and the order along the time axis is preserved between objects indicative of the documents belonging to different ones of the time periods (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 7, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein assuming that patent documents are inputted as the plurality of documents, in extracting the feature elements, dates of application are extracted as the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claim 8, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein assuming that patent documents are inputted as the plurality of documents, in extracting the feature elements, dates of application and priority dates are extracted as the time information (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6), and

wherein when the objects indicative of the documents are laid out, if a date of application and a priority date have been extracted from a document, the priority date is regarded as the time information of the document (Feldman: Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55; Column 7, lines 29-34; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 10, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses a relation chart-creating apparatus for creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), comprising:

feature element-extracting means for analyzing contents of each of the documents and extracting feature elements including time information (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

relevancy-calculating means for calculating a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

Application/Control Number:

10/812,021 Art Unit: 2162

layout means for laying out objects indicative of the documents on the relation chart, along a time axis, based on the time information (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6);

association line-generating means for generating association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6; Fukushige: Paragraph 177, lines 1-21; Figure 20); and

display means for displaying the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines, at least one of the objects indicative of the document pairs having relevancy being displayed while maintaining a before-and-after relationship of the document pairs in chronological order (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6; Fukushige: Paragraph 177, lines 1-21; Figure 20).

With respect to claim 11, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses a computer-readable recording medium that records a relation chart-creating program for creating a relation chart representative of relations between a plurality of documents (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Figure 1; Figure 6), the program causing a computer to:

analyze contents of each of the documents and extract feature elements including time information therefrom (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

calculate a degree of relevancy between each document pair extracted from the documents, based on the extracted feature elements (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13 and 30-55);

lay out objects indicative of the documents on the relation chart, along a time axis, based on the time information, and generate association lines for connecting between the objects of each document pair, depending on the calculated degree of relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 8, lines 46-67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

display the relation chart composed of the objects and the association lines, at least one of the objects indicative of the document pairs having relevancy being displayed while maintaining a before-and-after relationship of the document pairs in chronological order (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6).

With respect to claim 12, Feldman in view of Fukushige discloses a method comprising:

extracting feature elements including time information from each document to calculate a degree of relevancy between each pair of document (Feldman: Column 1, lines 44-54; Column 2, lines 43-48; Column 3, lines 1-6 and 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13; Column 7, lines 29-34 and 50-67);

laying out in chronological order on a relation chart objects that indicate the documents having relevancy (Feldman: Column 3, lines 55-67; Column 4, lines 1-13;

10/812,021

Art Unit: 2162

Column 8, lines 46- 67; Column 9, lines 1-3; Column 10, lines 45-67; Column 11, lines 1-4; Figure 1; Figure 6); and

displaying the relation chart while maintaining a before-and-after relationship of document pairs having relevancy in chronological order (Feldman: Column 4, lines 30-55; Figure 1; Figure 6; Fukushige: Paragraph 177, lines 1-21; Figure 20).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Kumar reference (US Patent 5,982,383) teaches about generating graphics charts. The Lavoie reference (US Publication 2005/0015716) teaches about a document change identifier.

10/812,021 Art Unit: 2162

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REZWANUL MAHMOOD whose telephone number is (571)272-5625. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 10 A.M. - 5 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571)272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rezwanul Mahmood Examiner Art Unit 2164 February 27, 2008 /Shahid Al Alam/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162